

The Audit Findings for Teignbridge District Council

Year ended 31 March 2020

21 October 2020



Contents



Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Julie Masci Director

T: 02920 347506 E: julie.masci@uk.gt.com

Andrew Davies
Audit Manager

T: 0117 305 7844
E: andrew.davies@uk.gt.com

Jasmine Kemp In-charge Auditor

T: 0117 305 7814
E: jasmine.r.kemp@uk.gt.com

Se	Section		
1.	Headlines	;	
2.	Financial statements		
3.	Value for money	1	
4.	Independence and ethics	2	
Ар	pendices		
A.	Action plan	2	
В.	Follow up of prior year recommendations	2	

The contents of this report relate only to those matters which came to our attention during the conduct of our normal audit procedures which are designed for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements. Our audit is not designed to test all internal controls or identify all areas of control weakness. However, where, as part of our testing, we identify control weaknesses, we will report these to you. In consequence, our work cannot be relied upon to disclose all defalcations or other irregularities, or to include all possible improvements in internal control that a more extensive special examination might identify. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

Audit adjustments

Audit Opinion

Fees

27

This table summarises the key findings and other matters arising from the statutory audit of Teignbridge District Council Council ('the Council') and the preparation of the Council's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2020 for those charged with governance.

Covid-19

The outbreak of the Covid-19 coronavirus pandemic has had a significant impact on the normal operations of the Council. The Council has been faced with the administration of grants to businesses, closure of leisure centres, reduced usage of car parks with the additional challenges of reopening services under new government guidelines and facilitating testing. In addition, the finance team have faced the challenge of compiling the financial statements with the team partly working remotely.

Councils are still required to prepare financial statements in accordance with the relevant accounting standards and the Code of Audit Practice, albeit to an extended deadline for the preparation of the financial statements up to 31 August 2020 and the date for audited financial statements to 30 November 2020.

We updated our audit risk assessment to consider the impact of the pandemic on our audit and issued an audit plan addendum on 16 April 2020. In that addendum we reported an additional financial statement risk in respect of Covid -19 and highlighted the impact on our VfM approach. Further detail is set out on page 6.

Restrictions for non-essential travel has meant both Council and audit staff have had to adapt to ensure we have gained sufficient audit evidence for the entries within the financial statements. This has meant a greater reliance on video calling for many aspects of the audit, particularly in terms of the use of sharing of screens to watch transaction listings being run. Where information is normally provided in a spreadsheet format, we have undertaken additional levels of testing to ensure that the information provided hasn't been manipulated prior to being sent to the audit team.

Although we are pleased to report that this process has worked well with both teams collaborating to identify solutions to hurdles presented by remote working, there has been a increased challenge in this area due to the Council's use of manual working papers. Whilst the council has invested significant resource in ensuring that the majority of these manual working papers were scanned to the audit team, auditing manual working papers is more challenging. We do acknowledged that in response to remote working the Council have increased the number of electronic working papers. Our 'inflo' document sharing system has helped facilitate this but inevitably the remote working has impacted on delivery and additional resources have been necessary on both sides to complete the work in accordance with the new extended reporting timetable.

Financial Statements

statements:

- · give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council and the Council's income and expenditure for the year; and
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting and prepared in accordance with the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

We are also required to report whether other information published together with the audited financial statements (including the Annual Governance Statement (AGS), and Narrative Report, is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

Under International Standards of Audit (UK) (ISAs) We commenced our post-statements, remote audit, in August and as at 6 October 2020 our audit is and the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit approximately 85% complete. Our work to date has focused on the significant risks thus minimising the risk of Practice ('the Code'), we are required to report additional significant issues arising between now and audit sign off. Our findings are summarised on pages 5 to whether, in our opinion, the Council's financial 16. On 6 October we have 'paused' the audit to allow management time to gather the evidence needed to satisfy our audit queries, or enable us to select our samples for detailed testing. We have arranged for additional resources to be available from 21st October to complete this work. In order to meet the 30th November deadline it is critical that the outstanding information is provided by 21st October at the latest.

> Our work continues, but to date, we have identified no material errors or adjustments to the financial statements. No adjustments have been identified that have resulted in an adjustment to the Council's Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement. We have recommended a small number of adjustments to improve the presentation of the financial statements as detailed in Appendix C. We have also raised recommendations for management as a result of our audit work in Appendix A. Our follow up of recommendations from the prior year's audit are detailed in Appendix B.

> We have concluded that the other information to be published with the financial statements is consistent with our knowledge of your organisation.

> Subject to completing the remaining audit procedures set out on page 5, our anticipated opinion will be unqualified and include an Emphasis of Matter paragraph highlighting the material uncertainties disclosed in the financial statements in respect of land and buildings. We are currently awaiting the Pension Fund Assurance letter from the Devon Pension Fund Auditor. We expect this later in October/ early November 2020. We expect this to include an Emphasis of Matter in relation to the uncertainty of the property investment funds that form part of the Pension Fund Assets.

Headlines (continued)

Value for Money arrangements	Code'), we are required to report if, in our opinion, the Council has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and	We have completed our risk based review of the Council's value for money arrangements. We have concluded that Teignbridge District Council has proper arrangements to secure deconomy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.	
		We have updated our VfM risk assessment to document our understanding of your arrangements to ensure critical business continuity in the current environment. We have not identified any new VfM risks in relation to Covid-19.	
		We therefore anticipate issuing an unqualified value for money conclusion as detailed in Appendix E. Our findings are summarised in the VFM section of this report.	
Statutory duties	The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 ('the Act') also requires us to:	We have not exercised any of our additional statutory powers or duties.	
	 report to you if we have applied any of the additional powers and duties ascribed to us under the Act; and 		
	 To certify the closure of the audit. 		

Acknowledgements

We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the assistance provided by the finance team and other staff during these unprecedented times.

Audit approach

Overview of the scope of our audit

This Audit Findings Report presents the observations arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance to oversee the financial reporting process, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260 and the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'). Its contents have been discussed with management.

As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) and the Code, which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements.

Audit approach

Our audit approach was based on a thorough understanding of the Council's business and is risk based, and in particular included:

- An evaluation of the Council's internal controls environment, including its IT systems and controls; and
- Substantive testing on significant transactions and material account balances, including the procedures outlined in this report in relation to the key audit risks.

We have had to alter our audit plan, as communicated to you on 21 March 2020, to reflect our response to the Covid-19 pandemic. These changes were communicated in an addendum to the Audit Plan dated 16 April 2020.

_	_	
Council	Amount	(ይ\
Council	AIIIOUIIL	にたり

	Council Amount (£)
Materiality for the financial statements	1,405,000
Performance materiality	1,054,000
Trivial matters	70,000
Materiality for Senior Officers Remuneration	10,000

Our approach to materiality

The concept of materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law.

Materiality levels have been revised following receipt of the draft financial statements. Materiality has been reduced since planning from £1.584m to £1.405m. The reduction is driven by actual Council spend in 2019/20 and the audit team's reassessment of risk following Covid-19.

Conclusion

Our audit remains in progress, however at the time of writing this report and subject to outstanding queries being resolved, we anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion following the Council meeting on 23 November 2020, as detailed in Appendix E.

These other outstanding items include completion of testing and documentation of evidence as follows:

- completion of our work on PPE. This includes opening balances testing (ownership and existence) and receipt of responses to our questions raised with the Council's valuer:
- receipt of responses to pensions queries;
- receipt of assurance letters from the Pension Fund Auditor and the Auditor of STRATA;
- receipt of evidence to complete our testing in the following areas: movement in reserves, journals, debtors, cash at bank, creditors, grants received in advance, employee remuneration, operating expenditure, provisions, refcus, income, and leases.
- undertaking a site visit to manual working papers that we have been unable to obtain electronically throughout the audit;
- · final review by engagement lead of ongoing audit procedures;
- · receipt of management representation letter; and
- review of the final set of financial statements and subsequent events review.

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Covid-19

The global outbreak of the Covid-19 virus pandemic has led to unprecedented uncertainty for all organisations, requiring urgent business continuity arrangements to be implemented. We expect current circumstances will have an impact on the production and audit of the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2020, including and not limited to:

- Remote working arrangements and redeployment of staff to critical front line duties may impact on the quality and timing of the production of the financial statements, and the evidence we can obtain through physical observation;
- Volatility of financial and property markets will increase the uncertainty of assumptions applied by management to asset valuation and receivable recovery estimates, and the reliability of evidence we can obtain to corroborate management estimates;
- Financial uncertainty will require management to reconsider financial forecasts supporting their going concern assessment and whether material uncertainties for a period of at least 12 months from the anticipated date of approval of the audited financial statements have arisen; and
- Disclosures within the financial statements will require significant revision to reflect the unprecedented situation and its impact on the preparation of the financial statements as at 31 March 2020 in accordance with IAS1, particularly in relation to material uncertainties.

We therefore identified the global outbreak of the Covid-19 virus as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.

Auditor commentary

In response to this risk we have:

- worked with management to understand the implications the response to the Covid-19 pandemic had on the organisation's ability to prepare the financial statements and update financial forecasts and assessed the implications for our materiality calculations. The draft financial statements were provided in line with the revised timetable;
- liaised with other audit suppliers, regulators and government departments to co-ordinate practical cross-sector responses to issues as and when they arose;
- evaluated the adequacy of the disclosures in the financial statements that arose in light of the Covid-19 pandemic;
- evaluated whether sufficient audit evidence could be obtained through remote technology;
- evaluated whether sufficient audit evidence could be obtained to corroborate significant management estimates such as assets and the pension fund liability valuations; and
- evaluated management's assumptions that underpin the revised financial forecasts and the impact on management's going concern assessment.

Our audit work, to date, has not identified any significant issues in respect of Covid-19 specific risks. This is not to say that there has not been an impact. The Council has identified a material uncertainty in relation to land and building valuations. We are also awaiting the Devon Pension Fund Auditor's letter of assurance. This could identify similar material uncertainties to those on land and buildings due to the level of property investment funds that form part of the Pension Fund Assets.

The financial challenge into the medium term have also increased due to the lost income, additional costs and the uncertainty of future government funding in respect of Covid-19.

In previous years we have discussed with management the impact that manual working papers have on audit efficiency. Due to remote working the impact of this has increased and delays have been experienced with progressing the audit in some areas.

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Improper revenue recognition

Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.

This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition.

Auditor commentary

Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the revenue streams at the Council, we determined at the planning stage that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition could be rebutted, because:

- there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition;
- · opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited; and
- the culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including Teignbridge District Council, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable.

We have reviewed material revenue transactions as part of our audit and we are satisfied that there have been no changes of circumstances requiring us to alter our proposed strategy with regards to revenue recognition in the latter part of the year.

Our audit work has not identified any issues in respect of improper revenue recognition.

Management override of controls

Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities. The Council faces external scrutiny of its spending and this could potentially place management under undue pressure in terms of how they report performance.

We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.

We have:

- evaluated the design effectiveness of management controls over journals;
- analysed the journals listing and determined the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals;
- tested unusual journals made during the year and after the draft accounts stage for appropriateness and corroboration;
- gained an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied made by management and considered their reasonableness; and
- evaluated the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions.

At the time of writing this report work our journals work remains in progress. Our audit work to date, including our review of journal entries and the related control environment, has not identified any significant issues issues with regards to management override of controls.

In previous years we have reported that the S151 Officer has posted journals which increases the risk in this area, due to a lack of segregation of duties. The Council continue to accept this increased risk due to the size of the finance team. The S151 has posted an increased level of journal lines during 2019/20. This has resulted in the audit team having test a higher number of journals to ensure that each of these were appropriate.

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Valuation of land and buildings (Rolling revaluation)

The Council revalues its land and buildings on a rolling five-yearly basis (+ top 20 assets). This valuation represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions. Additionally, management will need to ensure the carrying value in the Council's financial statements is not materially different from the current value at the financial statements date, where a rolling programme is used.

We therefore identified valuation of land and buildings, particularly revaluations and impairments, as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement, and a key audit matter.

Auditor commentary

We have:

- evaluated management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to valuation experts and the scope of their work;
- evaluated the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert;
- written to the valuer to confirm the basis on which the valuation was carried out;
- challenged the information and assumptions used by the valuer to assess completeness and consistency with our understanding, the Council's valuer's report and the assumptions that underpin the valuation;
- · tested revaluations made during the year to see if they had been input correctly into the Council's asset register; and
- evaluated the assumptions made by the valuer for those assets (20% + plus top 20 assets) revalued at 1 April 2019 and how management has satisfied themselves that these are not materially different to current value at year end. For the remaining 80% that were assessed using indices at 31 March 2020 we have evaluated the assumptions and appropriateness of the indices used.

At the time of writing this report our work on Property, Plant and Equipment remains in progress.

As highlighted previously in this report, we are intending to include an emphasis of matter paragraph in the audit opinion to reflect the uncertainty surrounding land and building valuations at year end. In line with RICS guidance, the valuer employed by the Council included a material uncertainty in their final valuation report. Officers reflected this in note 4 of the financial statements. The emphasis of matter paragraph refers to this disclosure in the accounts and draws attention to it for the readers of the financial statements and reflects the increased uncertainty in global markets created by covid-19. This is in line with other local councils.

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Valuation of pension fund net liability

The Council's pension fund net liability, as reflected in its balance sheet as the net defined benefit liability, represents a significant estimate in the financial statements.

The pension fund net liability is considered a significant estimate due to the size of the numbers involved (£86 million in the Council's balance sheet) and the sensitivity of the estimate to changes in key assumptions.

We therefore identified valuation of the Council's pension fund net liability as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement, and a key audit matter.

Auditor commentary

We have:

- updated our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management to ensure that the Council's
 pension fund net liability is not materially misstated and evaluated the design of the associated controls;
- evaluated the instructions issued by management to their management expert (an actuary) for this estimate and the scope of the actuary's work;
- · assessed the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the actuary who carried out the Council's pension fund valuation;
- assessed the accuracy and completeness of the information provided by the Council to the actuary to estimate the liability;
- tested the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in the notes to the core financial statements with the actuarial report from the actuary;
- undertaken procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made by reviewing the report of the consulting actuary (as auditor's expert) and performing any additional procedures suggested within the report;
- agreed the advance payment made to the pension fund during the year to the expected accounting treatment and relevant financial disclosures; and
- followed up the unadjusted misstatement reported in the 2018/19 Audit Findings Report and have ensured that this has now been adjusted correctly as a past service cost.

At the time of writing this report our work in this area remains in progress.

We await assurances from the auditor of Devon Pension Fund as to the controls surrounding the validity and accuracy of membership data; contributions data and benefits data sent to the actuary by the pension fund and the fund assets valuation in the pension fund financial statements. Further detail on the assumptions are set out later in this report.

Furthermore, this letter of assurance could identify similar material uncertainties to those on land and buildings due to the level of property investment funds that form part of the Pension Fund Assets.

In addition, clarification has recently been received on the restitution for McCloud and implications of the Goodwin case on pension liabilities. Expectations are that pension liabilities will be lower than estimated in actuarial reports produced for 31 March 2020 and we are liaising with the Council to gain assurance over the likely impact of this development.

Our audit work to date has not identified any issues in respect of valuation of the net liability.

Other audit risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) 16 Leases – (issued but not adopted)

The public sector will implement this standard from 1 April 2020. It will replace IAS 17 Leases, and the three interpretations that supported its application (IFRIC 4, Determining whether an Arrangement contains a Lease, SIC-15, Operating Leases – Incentives, and SIC-27 Evaluating the Substance of Transactions Involving the Legal Form of a Lease). Under the new standard the current distinction between operating and finance leases is removed for lessees and, subject to certain exceptions, lessees will recognise all leases on their balance sheet as a right of use asset and a liability to make the lease payments.

Auditor commentary

Although the implementation of IFRS 16 has been delayed to 1 April 2021, audited bodies still need to include disclosures in their 2019/2020 statements to comply with the requirement of IAS 8 para 31. As a minimum, we would expect audited bodies to disclose the title of the standard, the date of initial application and the nature of the changes in accounting policy for leases.

In accordance with IAS 8 and paragraph 3.3.4.3 of the Code disclosures of the expected impact of IFRS 16 should be included in the Council's 2019/20 financial statements. The Code adapts IFRS 16 and requires that the subsequent measurement of the right of use asset where the underlying asset is an item of property, plant and equipment is measured in accordance with section 4.1 of the Code.

The draft financial statements did not include these required disclosures, and we have requested amendments to be processed by management and they are considering the appropriate wording.

Assessment

Significant findings – key estimates and judgements

Accounting area

£93.446m

Land and Buildings -

Summary of management's policy

Other land and buildings comprises c£93m of assets, which are required to be valued at either current market value or depreciated replacement cost (DRC) at year end, reflecting the cost of a modern equivalent asset necessary to deliver the same service provision.

The Council has engaged the Valuer to complete the valuation of properties as at 1 April 2019 on a five yearly cyclical basis. This year the Council has instructed the Valuer to value the top 20 assets by value. As a result 51% of total assets by value were revalued during 2019/20.

For the remaining assets not formally revalued an exercise has been undertaken to ensure that the carrying value is not materially different to the current value. This exercise has also been completed for those assets valued at 1 April 2019 to ensure that there is not a material difference at 31 March 2020.

In line with RICS guidance, the Councils valuer has included a material valuation uncertainty in respect of the valuation of the Council's land and buildings at 31 March 2020 as a result of Covid-19. This has been reflected by management in the commentary is set out in note 4 of the financial statements.

Auditor commentary

We have carried out the following work in relation to this estimate:

- · Assessed management's expert to ensure suitably qualified and independent,
- Assessed the completeness and accuracy of the underlying information used to determine the estimate.
- Confirmed there were no changes to valuation method,
- Assessed the consistency of the estimate against near neighbours and using the Gerald Eve report, and
- Assessed the adequacy of disclosure of the estimate in the financial statements.
- We are proposing to include an emphasis of matter paragraph in our audit opinion to draw the readers attention to the uncertainty disclosed within the financial statements.

Our work in this area remains in progress, however work to date suggests that estimates and judgements in this area are reasonable. We have assessed this estimate as overall amber due to the material uncertainty on the valuation of Land and Buildings set out in note 4.



- We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

Significant findings – key estimates and judgements

Accounting area

Summary of management's policy

Auditor commentary

Assessment

Net pension liability – £86.418m

The Council's total net pension liability at 31 March 2020 is £86.418m (PY £87.523m). The Council uses Barnett Waddingham to provide actuarial valuations of the Council's assets and liabilities derived from the Devon County Pension Fund. A full actuarial valuation is required every three years. The latest full actuarial valuation was completed in 2019. Given the significant value of the net pension fund liability, small changes in assumptions can result in significant valuation movements. There has been a £1.105m decrease in the liability during 2019/20.

We have carried out the following work in relation to this estimate:

- · Assessed management's expert to ensure they are suitably qualified and independent,
- Assessed the actuary's roll forward approach taken,
- We have used PwC as our auditors expert to assess the assumptions made by the Council's actuary. The table below summarises where Teignbridge District Council fall in the acceptable ranges determined by PwC:

Assumption	Actuary Value	PwC range	Assessment
Discount rate	2.35%	2.35%	•
Pension increase rate	1.90%	1.85% - 1.95%	•
Salary growth	2.90%	1% above CPI 2.85% - 2.95%	•
Life expectancy – Males currently aged 45 / 65	22.9	21.4 - 23.3	•
Life expectancy – Females currently aged 45 / 65	24.1	23.7 – 24.7	•



- We have gained assurance over the completeness and accuracy of the underlying information used to determine the estimate.
- We have gained assurance over the reasonableness of the Council's share of LGPS pension assets, and
- · We have reviewed the adequacy of disclosure of the estimate in the financial statements.

Assessmen

- We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

Significant findings – going concern

Our responsibility

As auditors, we are required to "obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern assumption in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements and to conclude whether there is a material uncertainty about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern" (ISA (UK) 570).

Going concern disclosures

It has been a challenging year due to the Covid-19 pandemic and officers have had to respond quickly to the impact that this has had on the finances of the Council. During the early stages of the pandemic officers were predicting a full year impact of Covid-19 to be a budget pressure of £5.120m for 2020/21. The potential impact has been continually refined as further announcements have been made by central government and more funding being made available. The Council has identified £2m of savings that have been built into a revised budget for 2020/21. This coupled with the additional funding for lost income of 75% funding for 95% of losses of c £3m and a collection fund adjustment of £2.075m ensures that cost pressures at this stage are mitigated.

While this is a challenging situation, we agree with managements' judgment that no additional disclosures are needed in relation to going concern, as there are in their judgement, no material uncertainties surrounding the Council's adoption of the going concern assumption.

Going concern commentary

Management's assessment process

Management have carried out a detailed assessment of the Council's ability to continue as a going concern. This process included undertaking a detailed cash flow forecast through to November 2021. As part of this assessment management have considered their general fund and earmarked reserves balances as well as their net current asset position on their balance sheet. Importantly management's assessment has also considered the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic and the impact on income in the year to date.

Auditor commentary

- Going concern is defined as 'the concept that the local authority will remain in operational existence for the foreseeable future, in particular that the revenue accounts and balance sheet assume no intention to curtail significantly the scale of operations'.
- The Council's financial statements are prepared on a going concern basis on the grounds that budgets are in place and are being measured and managed to ensure that liabilities can be met as and when they fall due.
- A detailed budget for the Council is approved on an annual basis. Regular management reporting is produced for the senior leadership team and then reported to Full Council on at least a quarterly basis.
- We have concluded that management's process is adequate, and that the assessment is supported by robust assumptions.

Significant findings – going concern (continued)

Going concern commentary

Work performed

We have:

- · discussed with management the financial standing of the Council;
- reviewed and challenged management's assessment of going concern assumptions and supporting information, e.g. medium term financial planning assumptions (including Covid-19 impact) and cash flow forecasts;
- reviewed budget gaps over the medium term as part of drawing our VFM conclusion.

Auditor commentary

- We have reviewed management's assessment and have not identified any issues that would lead us to believe that there is a material uncertainty in the Council's assumption in preparing the financial statements on a going concern basis.
- The Council has a strong track record of delivering it's budget, the deficit reported in 2019/20 of £0.633 million arose due to the planned use of earmarked reserves to increase revenue contributions to capital.
- Cash balances remain appropriate, and there is evidence that the Council has sufficient access to borrowing
 in the short term should this been needed.
- Our audit did not identify any events or conditions which may cast significant doubt on the going concern assumption.

Concluding comments

Following our review we are satisfied with management's assessment of use of going concern basis of accounting.

Auditor commentary

- We concur with the S151 Officer's view that there are no material uncertainties that would require disclosure under ISA570.
- On the basis of our work we propose to issue an unmodified opinion for 2019/20 in relation to going concern.
- Our audit work detailed in the VFM section of this report provides more commentary regarding the financial challenge faced by the Council and this is impacted by the Covid-19 pandemic.

Other matters for communication

We set out below details of other matters which we, as auditors, are required by auditing standards and the Code to communicate to those charged with governance.

Issue	Auditor commentary
Matters in relation to fraud	We have previously discussed the risk of fraud with the Audit and Scrutiny Committee. We have not been made aware of any incidents in the period and no other issues have been identified during the course of our audit procedures.
Matters in relation to related parties	We are not aware of any related parties or related party transactions which have not been disclosed.
Matters in relation to laws and regulations	You have not made us aware of any significant incidences of non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations and we have not identified any incidences from our audit work.
Written representations	A letter of representation has been requested from the Council, which is included in the Audit and Scrutiny Committee papers.
Confirmation requests from third parties	We requested from management permission to send confirmation requests for bank and investment balances. This permission was granted and the requests were sent. At the time of writing this report we are awaiting Lloyds and Barclays third party confirmations.
Disclosures	Our review found no material omissions in the financial statements.
Audit evidence and explanations/significant difficulties	All information and explanations requested from management was provided.

Other responsibilities under the Code

Issue	Commentary
Other information	We are required to give an opinion on whether the other information published together with the audited financial statements (including the Annual Governance Statement, Narrative Report, is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.
	No inconsistencies have been identified. We plan to issue an unmodified opinion in this respect.
Matters on which we report by	We are required to report on a number of matters by exception in a numbers of areas:
exception	 If the Annual Governance Statement does not meet the disclosure requirements set out in the CIPFA/SOLACE guidance or is misleading or inconsistent with the other information of which we are aware from our audit
	If we have applied any of our statutory powers or duties
	We have nothing to report on these matters.
Specified procedures for Whole of Government Accounts	We are required to carry out specified procedures (on behalf of the NAO) on the Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) consolidation pack under WGA group audit instructions.
	No detailed work is required in this area as the Council is below the thresholds set by the NAO.
Certification of the closure of the audit	We intend to certify the closure of the 2019/20 audit of Teignbridge District Council in the audit report, as detailed in Appendix E.


Background to our VFM approach

We are required to satisfy ourselves that the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. This is known as the Value for Money (VFM) conclusion.

We are required to carry out sufficient work to satisfy ourselves that proper arrangements are in place at the Council. In carrying out this work, we are required to follow the NAO's Auditor Guidance Note 3 (AGN 03) issued in April 2020. AGN 03 identifies one single criterion for auditors to evaluate:

"In all significant respects, the audited body takes properly informed decisions and deploys resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people."

This is supported by three sub-criteria, as set out below:



Risk assessment

We carried out an initial risk assessment in February 2020 and identified one significant risk in respect of specific areas of proper arrangements using the guidance contained in AGN03. We communicated this risk to you in our Audit Plan dated 21 March 2020.

We have continued our review of relevant documents up to the date of giving our report, and have not identified any further significant risks where we need to perform further work.

We carried out further work only in respect of the significant risk we identified from our initial and ongoing risk assessment. Where our consideration of the significant risk determined that arrangements were not operating effectively, we have used the examples of proper arrangements from AGN 03 to explain the gaps in proper arrangements that we have reported in our VFM conclusion.

Our work

AGN 03 requires us to disclose our views on significant qualitative aspects of the Council's arrangements for delivering economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We have focused our work on the significant risk that we identified in the Council's arrangements. In arriving at our conclusion, our main considerations were:

- Financial outturn position and medium term financial plan;
- · Arrangements for closing the budget gap; and
- Arrangements for responding to the impact of Covid-19 and the impact on financial planning.

We have set out more detail on the risk we identified, the results of the work we performed, and the conclusions we drew from this work on the following page.

Overall conclusion

Based on the work we performed to address the significant risk, we are satisfied that the Council had proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

The text of our proposed report can be found at Appendix E.

Recommendations for improvement

We discussed findings arising from our work with management and have agreed recommendations for improvement.

Our recommendations and management's response to these can be found in the Action Plan at Appendix A.

Significant difficulties in undertaking our work

We did not identify any significant difficulties in undertaking our work on your arrangements which we wish to draw to your attention.

Significant matters discussed with management

There were no matters where no other evidence was available or matters of such significance to our conclusion or that we required written representation from management or those charged with governance.

Key findings

We set out below our key findings against the significant risk we identified through our initial risk assessment and through our ongoing review of documents.

In our Audit Plan dated 21 March 2020, we commented:

Financial Sustainability

Teignbridge District Council continues to face significant financial challenges over the medium term. The Council is forecasting a small underspend in 2019/20 against its revenue budget. However the latest medium term financial plan (MTFP) shows a revenue budget gap in 2022/23 of circa £1.5m.

General Fund reserves are forecast to remain at just below £2.0m over the medium term. The MTFP does however, assume the use of £4.3m of earmarked reserves between 2019/20 and 2022/23. This would significantly reduce earmarked reserve balances over the medium term. The planned use of earmarked reserves in 2019/20 is £1.7m.

The two key areas that are impacting upon the Council's MTFP are New Homes Bonus and Business Rates. These are both areas of significant uncertainty.

In response to this risk we will review the significant assumptions made in the Council's medium term financial plan and progress towards further closing the funding gap and reducing the impact on earmarked reserves.

Findings

As set out in our Audit Plan the Council faced a significant financial challenge pre Covid-19. The global pandemic has exasperated this through lost income in 2020/21 and an increased level of uncertainty. It is clear from our review that the Council's financial reporting arrangements are robust, and the level of reporting has been increased in response to the uncertainty presented by the virus.

The Council reported a deficit for 2019/20 of £0.633m which has reduced reserves. The Council ended the year with General Fund Reserves of £1.987m and General Fund Earmarked Reserves of £9.124m.

Pre Covid, the Council's Medium-Term Financial Strategy set out that there was a budget gap over the medium term of £1.5m and this was after the use of £4.3m of earmarked reserves. Whilst there are schemes identified to close this gap and work has started in some areas this has been delayed by Covid-19.

Although the Council has a good history of delivering savings, delivering this level of savings in the current climate will be challenging.

The revised Medium-Term Financial Strategy is now showing that there will be a small surplus generated of £0.067m in 2020/21. The shortfall was originally forecast to be £5.120m however with the sales fees and charges compensation scheme providing 75% funding for 95% of losses of c £3m and a collection fund adjustment of £2.075m the gap is closed. The Council has also taken action and have built into the revised 2020/21 budget c£2m of additional savings to be delivered.

The real pressures are likely to hit in 21/22 and beyond as income streams will take time to recover – particularly fees and charges and rental income and clarity on settlement funding hinging on any adjustments to business rates retention, continuation of new homes bonus and clarity on support for council tax and business rates income losses. Consideration of all available reserves will be essential in balancing the budget for next year and future years.

The Council are currently undertaken detailed work to fully understand the impact of the pandemic over the medium term.

Findings (continued)

Years 2021/22 and 2022/23 are therefore pivotal in achieving financial sustainability. Officers and Members must take action to identify saving/income generation schemes and review and continue to update the current medium-term financial strategy to ensure this remains updated with the most relevant and accurate assumptions. New schemes whether they are cost reduction or income generation often have significant lead times and as such progress needs to be made to ensure the impact on the Council's reserves are minimised. Whilst the Council has an adequate level of reserves, if sufficient action is not taken to address the budget gap on a recurrent basis this will impact on the Council's financial sustainability.

Conclusion

Based on the work completed we have concluded that the Council has adequate arrangements in place to deliver financial sustainability. It is clear that the Council has responded positively to the challenge presented by Covid-19 and are adequately monitoring and assessing the current and future impacts on the Council's finances; albeit with an increased level of uncertainty. The financial years 2021/22 and 2022/23 will see perhaps the peak of the challenge with reduced levels of income, impact on savings, and uncertainty of funding. It is therefore business critical that officers and members take immediate action to identify further mitigations by way of reducing costs or increasing income. It is also crucial the medium-term financial plan is refreshed on an ongoing basis.

Management response

Agree – we are communicating with Government in relation to our concerns over future funding streams and await early clarification as part of the provisional settlement. We continue to strive for savings through the BEST2020 process and delivered savings in 20/21 which will be reviewed as part of the savings exercise for 2021/22. We will look at all areas of efficiency and savings as part of our recovery programme and look to identify commercial opportunities, new income streams and a review of the use of our assets etc.

Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and confirm that we, as a firm, and each covered person, are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements

Further, we have complied with the requirements of the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 issued in May 2020 which sets out supplementary guidance on ethical requirements for auditors of local public bodies.

Details of fees charged are detailed in Appendix D

Independence and ethics

Audit and Non-audit services

For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Council. The following non-audit services were identified, as well as the following threats to our independence and safeguards that have been applied to mitigate these threats.

	Fees £	Threats identified	Safeguards
Audit related			
Housing Benefit Certification 2018/19 billed in 2019/20	9,400	Self-Interest (because this is a recurring fee)	The level of this recurring fee taken on its own is not considered a significant threat to independence as the fee for this work is £9,400 in comparison to the total fee for the audit of £44,740 and in particular is not significant relative to Grant Thornton UK LLP's turnover overall. Further, it is a fixed fee and there is no contingent element to it. These factors all mitigate the perceived self-interest threat to an acceptable level.
Housing Benefit Certification 2019/20 to be completed in December/January 2020	8,400	Self-Interest (because this is a recurring fee)	The level of this recurring fee taken on its own is not considered a significant threat to independence as the fee for this work is £8,400 in comparison to the total fee for the audit of £44,740 and in particular is not significant relative to Grant Thornton UK LLP's turnover overall. Further, it is a fixed fee and there is no contingent element to it. These factors all mitigate the perceived self-interest threat to an acceptable level.

These services are consistent with the Council's policy on the allotment of non-audit work to your auditors. None of the services provided are subject to contingent fees.

Action plan

We have identified four recommendations for the Council as a result of issues identified during the course of our audit. We have agreed our recommendations with management and we will report on progress on these recommendations during the course of the 2020/21 audit. The matters reported here are limited to those deficiencies that we have identified during the course of our audit and that we have concluded are of sufficient importance to merit being reported to you in accordance with auditing standards.

Assessment	Issue and risk	Recommendations
High	It is clear that the Council has responded positively to the challenge presented by Covid-19 and are adequately monitoring and assessing the current and future impacts on the Council's finance, albeit it with an increased level of	We recommend that officers and Members take action in 2020 to reduce the current budget gaps over the medium term. We further recommend that the Council continue to refresh the medium-term financial plan to reflect the impact of Covid-19 as things develop and to ensure the financial challenge is fully known.
	uncertainty. The financial years 21/22 and 22/23 will see perhaps the peak of the challenge with reduced levels of	Management response
	income, impact on savings, and uncertainty of funding. It is therefore business critical that officers and members take immediate action to identify further mitigations by way of reducing costs or increasing income. It is also crucial the medium-term financial plan is refreshed on an ongoing basis.	Agree – we are communicating with Government in relation to our concerns over future funding streams and await early clarification as part of the provisional settlement. We continue to strive for savings through the BEST2020 process and delivered savings in 20/21 which will be reviewed as part of the savings exercise for 2021/22. We will look at all areas of efficiency and savings as part of our recovery programme and look to identify commercial opportunities, new income streams and a review of the use of our assets etc. These findings will be incorporated in our draft budget proposals that will be worked up in December 2020
	In previous years we have reported that the S151 officer has posted journals which increases the risk on management overriding controls. The Council continue to accept this increased risk due to the size of the finance team. Due to the pandemic the S151 has posted an increased level of journals which has resulted in the audit team having test a higher number of journals to ensure that each of these were appropriate.	We recommend that the finance team reconsider the level of journals posted by the S151 Officer.
		Management response
Medium		We will consider as part of any future resourcing and work allocations within the small Finance team and ability to reallocate due to the restrictive nature of operations from Covid 19.
	In previous years we have discussed with management the	We recommend that the finance team ensure all working papers going forward are electronic as
Medium	2019/20 audit, which was conducted remotely, the use of manual working papers increased the amount of work needed	this will help audit efficiency.
wealum		Management response
	both in terms of agreeing populations for testing and in verifying authenticity of documentation.	We carried out significant work previously carried out by the auditors thus reducing their level of work. We also increased the number of electronic documents produced and scanned all manual working papers to ensure they were available for the audit. Any further changes in processes to provide a set of accounts will be dictated by future plans to change the finance system.

Controls

- High Significant effect on control system
- Medium Effect on control system
- Low Best practice

Action plan (continued)

Assessment Issue and risk Recommendations Our work on property plant and equipment identified 164 historic assets included in the fixed asset register that were previously disposed pre 2018/19. These assets are correctly carried at nil value in the asset register. Medium We recommend that these items are removed from the FAR going forwards as they are no longer relevant to the Council's asset population and could result in misstatement of assets not in their possession. Management response These assets are not included as 'live' on the Finance spreadsheet but included for historic purposes only and flagged as sold. They are excluded from the Estates property system P-ASSETS.

Controls

- High Significant effect on control system
- Medium Effect on control system
- Low Best practice

Follow up of prior year recommendations

We identified the following issues in the audit of Teignbridge District Council's 2018/19 financial statements, which resulted in four recommendations being reported in our 2018/19 Audit Findings report. We have followed up on the implementation of our recommendations and note that two remain in progress.

Assessment	Recommendation previously issued	Update on actions taken to address the issue
х	We recommend that as a matter of urgency the Council identify further income generation/cost reduction schemes to reduce the future budget gaps and minimise the use of the Council's reserves.	Given the increased budget gap and the impact of Covid 19 more focus is needed in this area. An updated recommendation has been raised in Appendix A of this report.
	We further recommend that the Council take action on the implementation of those schemes identified to date. This is to ensure the earliest possible impact.	
х	We recommend that the Council review its arrangements for posting journals going forward.	The S151 officer has continued to post journals due to the size of the team. Due to Covid 19 the number of journals posted has increased considerable which in turn has increased the level of audit testing required. An updated recommendation has been raised in Appendix A of this report.
✓	We recommend that as the Council progresses new and innovative ways of generating income that the appropriate technical advice is sought at each stage in the process. The accounting implications of each transaction should also be considered.	This recommendation has been noted by the Council and our financial statements and VFM work have not identified any issues where the appropriate technical advice has not been sought.
√	The Council should ensure at the end of each period the Capital Financing Requirement reconciles to the capital items on the balance sheet.	This recommendation has been addressed.

Assessmen

- ✓ Action completed
- X Not yet addressed

Audit adjustments

We are required to report all non trivial misstatements to those charged with governance, whether or not the accounts have been adjusted by management.

Impact of prior year unadjusted misstatements

There are no prior year unadjusted misstatements.

Impact of adjusted and unadjusted misstatements

At the time of writing this report there are no adjusted or unadjusted misstatements.

Misclassification and disclosure changes

The table below provides details of misclassification and disclosure changes identified during the audit which have been made in the final set of financial statements.

Disclosure omission	Detail	Adjusted?
Financial Statements – Various	During the course of the audit a number of small disclosure amendments were made to the financial statements. These have not been reported separately due to their insignificant nature.	✓

Fees

We confirm below our final fees charged for the audit and provision of non-audit services.

Audit fees	Proposed fee	Final fee
Council Audit	£44,740	TBC
Total audit fees (excluding VAT)	£44,740	TBC

The proposed indicative fee of £44,740 has been approved by PSAA. We set out in our audit plan how the Financial Reporting Council's has set its expectation of improved financial reporting from organisations and the need for auditors to demonstrate increased scepticism and challenge and to undertake additional and more robust testing. This, coupled with the recent impact of Covid 19 and the time taken in managing the audit in a more remote working environment, has clearly impacted our work and we will need to review the actual audit inputs required at the conclusion of our work, to assess any fee implications arising from this.

In our experience, based on the indications from audits being undertaken to date, the issues highlighted above are increasing the time taken on audits by an average of 25%. We understand from discussions with the ICAEW that this is similar to other firms. We are mitigating this as far as possible through reduced travel time and travel costs and will be looking how we can absorb some of the remaining overrun ourselves. However, it is possible that this will not be sufficient to cover the full additional cost. We are aware that the Council's finances are constrained and we will seek to minimise these costs as best we can and will also consider our own performance in delivering to the November deadline. Whilst it is too soon to estimate whether there will be any additional cost to the Council it is important to highlight this matter to you. We will discuss any additional costs with the Council's S151 Officer as we progress further towards the concluding stages of the audit. We hope the explanation above and the recent Redmond report (that indicates that, before the impact of Covid 19 is taken into account, audit fees are under-priced by 25 per cent) provides some context to any additional fees and the actions we are taking to mitigate them.

Non-audit fees for other services	Proposed fee	Final fee
Certification of the Housing Benefit return	£9,400	TBC
Total non- audit fees (excluding VAT)	£9,400	TBC

The fees set out on this page agree to the figures set out in note 34 of the Council's financial statements.

Audit opinion

We anticipate we will provide the Council with an unmodified audit report

Independent auditor's report to the members of Teignbridge District Council Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of Teignbridge District Council (the 'Authority') for the year ended 31 March 2020 which comprise the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, the Movement in Reserves Statement, the Balance Sheet, the Cash Flow Statement, the Collection Fund Statement and notes to the financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2019/20. In our opinion, the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority as at 31 March 2020 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended;
- •have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2019/20; and
- •have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the 'Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements' section of our report. We are independent of the Authority in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

The impact of macro-economic uncertainties on our audit

Our audit of the financial statements requires us to obtain an understanding of all relevant uncertainties, including those arising as a consequence of the effects of macro-economic uncertainties such as Covid-19 and Brexit. All audits assess and challenge the reasonableness of estimates made by the Chief Finance Officer and the related disclosures and the appropriateness of the going concern basis of preparation of the financial statements. All of these depend on assessments of the future economic environment and the Authority's future operational arrangements.

Covid-19 and Brexit are amongst the most significant economic events currently faced by the UK, and at the date of this report their effects are subject to unprecedented levels of uncertainty, with the full range of possible outcomes and their impacts unknown. We applied a standardised firm-wide approach in response to these uncertainties when assessing the Authority's future operational arrangements. However, no audit should be expected to predict the unknowable factors or all possible future implications for an authority associated with these particular events.

Conclusions relating to going concern

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters in relation to which the ISAs (UK) require us to report to you where:

- the Chief Finance Officer's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is not appropriate; or
- the Chief Finance Officer has not disclosed in the financial statements any identified material uncertainties that may cast significant doubt about the Authority's ability to continue to adopt the going concern basis of accounting for a period of at least twelve months from the date when the financial statements are authorised for issue.

In our evaluation of the Chief Finance Officer's conclusions, and in accordance with the expectation set out within the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2019/20 that the Authority's financial statements shall be prepared on a going concern basis, we considered the risks associated with the Authority's operating activities, including effects arising from macro-economic uncertainties such as Covid-19 and Brexit. We analysed how those risks might affect the Authority's financial resources or ability to continue operations over the period of at least twelve months from the date when the financial statements are authorised for issue. In accordance with the above, we have nothing to report in these respects.

However, as we cannot predict all future events or conditions and as subsequent events may result in outcomes that are inconsistent with judgements that were reasonable at the time they were made, the absence of reference to a material uncertainty in this auditor's report is not a guarantee that the Authority will continue in operation.

Emphasis of Matter - effects of Covid-19 on the valuation of land and buildings [and property investments]

We draw attention to Note 4 of the financial statements, which describes the effects of the Covid-19 pandemic on the valuation of the Authority's land and buildings [and the Authority's share of the pension fund's property investments] as at 31 March 2020. As, disclosed in note 6 to the financial statements, the outbreak of Covid-19 has impacted global financial markets and market activity has been impacted. A material valuation uncertainty was therefore disclosed in [both] the Authority's property valuer's report [and the pension fund's property valuation reports]. Our opinion is not modified in respect of this matter.

Other information

The Chief Finance Officer is responsible for the other information. The other information comprises the information included in the Statement of Accounts, the Narrative Report, and the Annual Governance Statement, other than the financial statements and, our auditor's report thereon. Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in our report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

Audit opinion

In connection with our audit of the financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge of the Authority obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the financial statements or a material misstatement of the other information. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Other information we are required to report on by exception under the Code of Audit Practice

Under the Code of Audit Practice published by the National Audit Office on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General (the Code of Audit Practice) we are required to consider whether the Annual Governance Statement does not comply with the 'delivering good governance in Local Government Framework 2016 Edition' published by CIPFA and SOLACE or is misleading or inconsistent with the information of which we are aware from our audit. We are not required to consider whether the Annual Governance Statement addresses all risks and controls or that risks are satisfactorily addressed by internal controls.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Opinion on other matter required by the Code of Audit Practice

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit of the financial statements and our knowledge of the Authority gained through our work in relation to the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, the other information published together with the financial statements in the Statement of Accounts, the Narrative Report, and the Annual Governance Statement for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements.

Matters on which we are required to report by exception

Under the Code of Audit Practice, we are required to report to you if:

- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit: or
- we make a written recommendation to the Authority under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or;
- we issue an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit: or
- we make an application for judicial review under Section 31 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit.

We have nothing to report in respect of the above matters.

Responsibilities of the Authority, the Chief Finance Officer and Those Charged with Governance for the financial statements

As explained more fully in the Statement of Responsibilities, the Authority is required to make arrangements for the proper administration of its financial affairs and to secure that one of its officers has the responsibility for the administration of those affairs. In this authority, that officer is the Chief Finance Officer. The Chief Finance Officer is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2019/20, for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view, and for such internal control as the Chief Finance Officer determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, the Chief Finance Officer is responsible for assessing the Authority's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless there is an intention by government that the services provided by the Authority will no longer be provided.

The Audit and Scrutiny Committee is Those Charged with Governance. Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Authority's financial reporting process.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at: www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements - Conclusion on the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

Conclusion

On the basis of our work, having regard to the guidance on the specified criterion issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General in April 2020, we are satisfied that the Authority put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2020.

Responsibilities of the Authority

The Authority is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, to ensure proper stewardship and governance, and to review regularly the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.

Audit opinion

Auditor's responsibilities for the review of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

We are required under Section 20(1)(c) of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to be satisfied that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

We have undertaken our review in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, having regard to the guidance on the specified criterion issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General in April 2020, as to whether in all significant respects the Authority had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people. The Comptroller and Auditor General determined this criterion as that necessary for us to consider under the Code of Audit Practice in satisfying ourselves whether the Authority put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2020.

We planned our work in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice. Based on our risk assessment, we undertook such work as we considered necessary to be satisfied that the Authority has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements - Certificate

We certify that we have completed the audit of the financial statements of Teignbridge District Council in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Code of Audit Practice.

Use of our report

This report is made solely to the members of the Authority, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and as set out in paragraph 43 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Authority's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Julie Masci, Key Audit Partner for and on behalf of Grant Thornton UK LLP, Local Auditor Bristol Date:



© 2020 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.