

# Audit Progress Report and Sector Update

Teignbridge District Council  
Year ending 31 March 2020

25 March 2020



# Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	3
Progress at March 2020	4
Audit Deliverables	6
Sector Update	7

# Introduction



**Julie Masci**

**Engagement Lead**

T 02920 347 506  
M 07730 677623  
E [julie.maschi@uk.gt.com](mailto:julie.maschi@uk.gt.com)



**Andrew Davies**

**Engagement Manager**

T 0117 305 7844  
M 07747 006 786  
E [andrew.davies@uk.gt.com](mailto:andrew.davies@uk.gt.com)

This paper provides the Audit Scrutiny Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

The paper also includes:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a local authority; and
- includes a number of challenge questions in respect of these emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider (these are a tool to use, if helpful, rather than formal questions requiring responses for audit purposes)

Members of the Audit Scrutiny Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications [www.grantthornton.co.uk](http://www.grantthornton.co.uk).

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

# Progress at March 2020

## Financial Statements Audit

We began our planning for the 2019/20 audit in February, and we are due to begin our interim audit in March 2020. Our interim fieldwork includes:

- updated review of the Council's control environment;
- updated understanding of financial systems;
- review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems;
- early work on emerging accounting issues; and
- early substantive testing.

We issued a detailed audit plan, setting out our proposed approach to the audit of the Council's 2019/20 financial statements, and this is included as a separate agenda item at the Committee.

We will report our work in the Audit Findings Report and aim to give our opinion on the Statement of Accounts by the agreed deadline.

## Value for Money

The scope of our work is set out in the guidance issued by the National Audit Office. The Code requires auditors to satisfy themselves that; "the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources".

The guidance confirmed the overall criterion as: "in all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people".

The three sub criteria for assessment to be able to give a conclusion overall are:

- Informed decision making
- Sustainable resource deployment
- Working with partners and other third parties

Details of our initial risk assessment to determine our approach is included in our Audit Plan.

We will report our work in the Audit Findings Report and aim to give our Value For Money Conclusion by the agreed deadline.

The NAO has consulted on a new Code of Audit Practice and published a draft version. Subject to Parliamentary approval the new Code will come into force no later than 1 April 2020 and includes significant changes to the auditor's Value for Money work.

# Progress at March 2020 (cont.)

## Other areas

### Certification of claims and returns

The certification of your Housing Benefits subsidy return is no longer covered by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd and Councils appoint their own reporting accountants. The Council has appointed Grant Thornton to complete the certification for the 2019/20 subsidy year. The Council has initiated a procurement exercise to appoint a reporting accountant for the 2020/21 subsidy year and beyond. Grant Thornton have submitted a tender and at the time of writing this report await the results.

### Meetings

We met with Finance Officers in January as part of our liaison meetings and continue to be in discussions with finance staff regarding emerging developments and to ensure the audit process is smooth and effective.

### Events

We provide a range of workshops, along with network events for members and publications to support the Council. Your officers attended our Financial Reporting Workshop in February, which will help to ensure that members of your Finance Team are up to date with the latest financial reporting requirements for local authority accounts.

Further details of the publications that may be of interest to the Council are set out in our Sector Update section of this report.

## Audit Fees

During 2017, PSAA awarded contracts for audit for a five year period beginning on 1 April 2018. 2019/20 is the second year of that contract. Since that time, there have been a number of developments within the accounting and audit profession. Across all sectors and firms, the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) has set out its expectation of improved financial reporting from organisations and the need for auditors to demonstrate increased scepticism and challenge and to undertake additional and more robust testing.

Our work in the Local Government sector in 2018/19 has highlighted areas where financial reporting, in particular, property, plant and equipment and pensions, needs to improve. There is also an increase in the complexity of Local Government financial transactions and financial reporting. This combined with the FRC requirement that all Local Government audits are at or above the “few improvements needed” (2A) rating means that additional audit work is required.

We have reviewed the impact of these changes on both the cost and timing of audits. We have formally written to your Section 151 Officer and have issued an update fee letter to explain the additional work expected in our 2019-20 audit to respond to these additional requirements.

As a firm, we are absolutely committed to meeting the expectations of the FRC with regard to audit quality and local government financial reporting.

# Audit Deliverables

2019/20 Deliverables	Planned Date	Status
<b>Fee Letter</b> Confirming audit fee for 2019/20. We have appended the updated fee letter seeking additional fees as a result of the increased regulatory focus facing all audit suppliers.	April 2019	Complete
<b>Accounts Audit Plan</b> We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Audit Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2019-20 financial statements. This includes the findings of our value for money initial risk assessment.	March 2020	On this agenda
<b>Interim Audit Findings</b> We will report to you the findings from our interim audit in our Audit Progress Report.	March 2020	Due to the timing of the interim visit being after drafting this report we will verbally update members at committee.
<b>Audit Findings Report</b> The Audit Findings Report will be reported to the July Audit Committee.	July 2020	Not yet due
<b>Auditors Report</b> This is the opinion on your financial statement, annual governance statement and value for money conclusion.	July 2020	Not yet due
<b>Annual Audit Letter</b> This letter communicates the key issues arising from our work.	August 2020	Not yet due

# Sector Update

Councils are tackling a continuing drive to achieve greater efficiency in the delivery of public services, whilst facing the challenges to address rising demand, ongoing budget pressures and social inequality.

Our sector update provides you with an up to date summary of emerging national issues and developments to support you. We cover areas which may have an impact on your organisation, the wider LG and the public sector as a whole. Links are provided to the detailed report/briefing to allow you to delve further and find out more.

Our public sector team at Grant Thornton also undertake research on service and technical issues. We will bring you the latest research publications in this update. We also include areas of potential interest to start conversations within the organisation and with audit committee members, as well as any accounting and regulatory updates.

- **Grant Thornton Publications**
- **Insights from local government sector specialists**
- **Reports of interest**
- **Accounting and regulatory updates**

More information can be found on our dedicated public sector and local government sections on the Grant Thornton website by clicking on the logos below:

Public Sector

Local  
government

# Brydon Review – the quality & effectiveness of audit

The Brydon review is an independent review, led by Sir Donald Brydon, which has looked at the quality and effectiveness of audit, seeking to make proposals that will improve the UK audit ‘product’. The review has examined the nature and scope of audit from a user perspective and seeks to clarify and potentially close the ‘expectation gap’ (ie what stakeholders and society expect from audit compared to what it delivers today).

A full list of Sir Donald’s recommendations can be found online, and a brief summary is provided below:

- Redefinition of audit and its purpose
- Creation of a corporate auditing profession, governed by principles
- Introduction of suspicion into the qualities of auditing
- Extension of the concept of auditing to areas beyond financial statements
- Mechanisms to encourage greater engagement of shareholders with audit and auditors
- Change in language of the opinion given by auditors
- Introduction of a corporate Audit and Assurance Policy, a Resilience Statement and a Public Interest Statement
- Suggestions to inform the work of BEIS on internal controls and improve clarity on capital maintenance
- Greater clarity around the roles of the Audit and Governance Committee
- A package of measures around fraud detection and prevention
- Improved auditor communication and transparency
- Obligations to acknowledge external signals of concern
- Extension of audit to new areas including Alternative Performance Measures
- Increased use of technology

On the auditor’s responsibility to detect fraud, Jonathan Riley, Grant Thornton Head of Quality and Reputation, said: “We are pleased to note that Sir Donald Brydon makes it clear that not only is there an expectation gap in relation to the purpose of audit and the detection of fraud but that the current ISAs need revision, and training of corporate auditors need to be enhanced, in order to allow auditors to better detect fraud. This is further reinforced by the new ability to make it easier for users of accounts, not just management, to inform the auditor of concerns relating to financial statements.”

“Notwithstanding these proposals, it is neither possible or desirable for an auditor to test in detail every transaction of the company and so materiality will still exist. In addition, a fraud involving collusion and sophistication may still prove extremely hard to detect.”

Grant Thornton welcomes the consideration given by Sir Donald on the quality and effectiveness of audit. These recommendations should bring far greater clarity and transparency to the profession and ultimately result in an audit regime that allows auditors to better assess, assure and inform all users of financial accounts.

Crucially, the Government must now consider these recommendations not just in context of earlier inquiries into the profession, but also against the backdrop of global trade and Britain’s future role as a pillar of global commerce. The report places new obligations not only on auditors, but also on company directors. Together with other regulations such as the revised Ethical Standard and wider corporate governance requirements, the proposed changes need to strike the right balance and not dent our place on the world’s financial stage. Careful explanation particularly of what this means to those fast growing mid-sized public entities seeking capital will be necessary.

The public perception of audit remains weak and failures continue to happen, so we agree that now is the right time to explore what needs to change to ensure that audit is fit for modern day business and meets the public interest. The report should contribute heavily towards this outcome.

Link to the full report and full list of recommendations:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-quality-and-effectiveness-of-audit-independent-review>



# Redmond Review – Review of local authority financial reporting and external audit

The independent review led by Sir Tony Redmond sought views on the quality of local authority financial reporting and external audit. The consultation ran from 17 September 2019 to 20 December 2019.

Grant Thornton provided a comprehensive submission. We believe that local authority financial reporting and audit is at a crossroads. Recent years have seen major changes. More complex accounting, earlier financial close and lower fees have placed pressure on authorities and auditors alike. The target sign-off date for audited financial statements of 31 July has created a significant peak of workload for auditors. It has made it impossible to retain specialist teams throughout the year. It has also impacted on individual auditors' well-being, making certain roles difficult to recruit to, especially in remote parts of the country.

Meanwhile, the focus on Value for Money, in its true sense, and on protecting the interests of citizens as taxpayers and users of services are in danger of falling by the wayside. The use of a black and white 'conclusion' has encouraged a mechanistic and tick box approach, with auditors more focused on avoiding criticism from the regulator than on producing Value for Money reports that are of value to local people.

In this environment, persuading talented people to remain in the local audit market is difficult. Many of our promising newly qualified staff and Audit Managers have left the firm to pursue careers elsewhere, often outside the public sector, and almost never to pursue public audit at other firms. Grant Thornton is now the only firm which supports qualification through CIPFA. It is no longer clear where the next generation of local auditors will come from.

We believe that now is the time to reframe both local authority financial reporting and local audit. Specifically, we believe that there is a need for:

- More clearly established system leadership for local audit;
- Simplified local authority financial reporting, particularly in the areas of capital accounting and pensions;

- Investing in improving the quality of financial reporting by local bodies;
- A realistic timescale for audit reporting, with opinion sign off by September each year, rather than July;
- An increase in audit fees to appropriate levels that reflect current levels of complexity and regulatory focus;
- A more tailored and proportional approach to local audit regulation, implementing the Kingman recommendations in full;
- Ensuring that Value for Money audit work has a more impactful scope, as part of the current NAO Code of Audit Practice refresh;
- Introducing urgent reforms which help ensure future audit arrangements are sustainable and attractive to future generations of local audit professionals.

We note that Sir Donald Brydon, in his review published this week, has recommended that *“the Audit, Reporting and Governance Authority (ARGA) (the proposed new regulatory body) should facilitate the establishment of a corporate auditing profession based on a core set of principles. (This should include but not be limited to) the statutory audit of financial statements.”* Recognising the unique nature of public audit, and the special importance of stewardship of public money, we also recommend that a similar profession be established for local audit. This should be overseen by a new public sector regulator.

As the reviews by John Kingman, Sir Donald Brydon, and the CMA have made clear, the market, politicians and the media believe that, in the corporate world, both the transparency of financial reporting and audit quality needs to be improved. Audit fees have fallen too low, and auditors are not perceived to be addressing the key things which matter to stakeholders, including a greater focus on future financial stability. The local audit sector shares many of the challenges facing company audit. All of us in this sector need to be seen to be stepping up to the challenge. This Review presents a unique opportunity to change course, and to help secure the future of local audit, along with meaningful financial reporting.

.”

# Financial Reporting Council – Summary of key developments for 2019/20 annual reports

On 30 October the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) wrote an Open Letter to Company Audit and Governance Committee Chairs. Some of the points are relevant to local authorities.

## The reporting environment


The FRC notes that, “In times of uncertainty, whether created by political events, general economic conditions or operational challenges, investors look for greater transparency in corporate reports to inform their decision-making. We expect companies to consider carefully the detail provided in those areas of their reports which are exposed to heightened levels of risk; for example, descriptions of how they have approached going concern considerations, the impact of Brexit and all areas of material estimation uncertainty.” These issues equally affect local authorities, and the Statement of Accounts or Annual Report should provide readers with sufficient appropriate information on these topics.

## Critical judgements and estimates

The FRC wrote “More companies this year made a clear distinction between the critical judgements they make in preparing their accounts from those that involve the making of estimates and which lead to different disclosure requirements. However, some provided insufficient disclosures to explain this area of their reporting where a particular judgement had significant impact on their reporting; for example, whether a specific investment was a joint venture or a subsidiary requiring consolidation. We will continue to have a key focus on the adequacy of disclosures supporting transparent reporting of estimation uncertainties. An understanding of their sensitivity to changing assumptions is of critical value to investors, giving them clearer insight into the possible future changes in balance sheet values and which can inform their investment decisions.” Critical judgements and estimates also form a crucial part of local authority statements of account, with the distinction often blurred.

## IFRS 16 Leases

The FRC letter also comments on the introduction of IFRS 16. Please refer to page 12 for more information on this topic.



# Financial Reporting Council – aid to Audit and Governance Committees in evaluating audit quality

On 19 December the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) issued an update of its Practice Aid to assist Audit and Governance Committees in evaluating audit quality in their assessment of the effectiveness of the external audit process.

The FRC notes that, “The update takes account of developments since the first edition was issued in 2015, including revisions of the UK Corporate Governance Code, the requirement for all Public Interest Entities (PIEs) to conduct a tender at least every 10 years and rotate auditors after at least 20 years, and increasing focus generally on audit quality and the role of the Audit and Governance Committee. It also takes account of commentary from Audit and Governance Committees suggesting how the Practice Aid could be more practical in focus and more clearly presented.

The framework set out in the Practice Aid focuses on understanding and challenging how the auditor demonstrates the effectiveness of key professional judgments made throughout the audit and how these might be supported by evidence of critical auditor competencies. New sections have been added addressing the audit tender process, stressing that high-audit quality should be the primary selection criterion, and matters to cover in Audit and Governance Committee reporting.

As well as illustrating a framework for the Audit and Governance Committee’s evaluation, the Practice Aid sets out practical suggestions on how Audit and Governance Committees might tailor their evaluation in the context of the company’s business model and strategy; the business risks it faces; and the perception of the reasonable expectations of the company’s investors and other stakeholders. These include examples of matters for the Audit and Governance Committee to consider in relation to key areas of audit judgment, and illustrative Audit and Governance Committee considerations in evaluating the auditor’s competencies.

The FRC encourages Audit and Governance Committees to use the Practice Aid to help develop their own approach to their evaluation of audit quality, tailored to the circumstances of their company. Audit and Governance Committees are encouraged to see their evaluation as integrated with other aspects of their role related to ensuring the quality of the financial statements – obtaining evidence of the quality of the auditor’s judgments made throughout the audit, in identifying audit risks, determining materiality and planning their work accordingly, as well as in assessing issues.”



The Practice Aid can be obtained from the FRC website:

<https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/68637e7a-8e28-484a-aec2-720544a172ba/Audit-Quality-Practice-Aid-for-Audit-Committees-2019.pdf>

# Implementation of International Financial Reporting Standard 16 *Leases*

IFRS 16 *Leases*, as interpreted and adapted for the public sector, will be effective from 1 April 2020.

## Background

IFRS 16 *Leases* was issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) in January 2016 and is being applied by HM Treasury in the Government Financial Reporting Manual from 1 April 2020. Implementation of the Standard will be included in the *Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom* (the Code) for 2020/21.

The new Standard replaces the current leasing standard IAS 17 and related interpretation documents IFRIC 4, SIC 15 and SIC 27 and it sets out the principles for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of leases. The IASB published IFRS 16 because it was aware that the previous lease accounting model was criticised for failing to provide a faithful representation of leasing transactions.

## Impact on 2019/20 financial statements

Whilst the new Standard is effective from 1 April 2020, authorities are required by the Code to '*disclose information relating to the impact of an accounting change that will be required by a new standard that has been issued but not yet adopted*'. This requirement of the Code (3.3.4.3) reflects the requirements of paragraph 30 of IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*.

In the 2019/20 financial statements we would therefore expect to see authorities make disclosures including:

- the title of the Standard
- the date of implementation
- the fact that the modified retrospective basis of transition is to be applied, with transition adjustments reflected through opening reserves
- known or reasonably estimable information relevant to assessing the possible impact that application will have on the entity's financial statements, including the impact on assets, liabilities, reserves, classification of expenditure and cashflows
- the basis for measuring right of use assets on transition
- the anticipated use of recognition exemptions and practical expedients recognising that what is sufficient disclosure for one body may not be sufficient for another

## Information needed for 2019/20 financial statements

In order to make disclosures in 2019/20, a significant amount of data will be needed, most significantly:

- a complete list of leases previously identified under IAS 17 and IFRIC 4
- details of non-cancellable lease terms, purchase options, extension and termination options
- details of lease arrangements at peppercorn or NIL rental
- anticipated future cash flows and implicit interest rates or incremental borrowing rates to enable calculation of lease liabilities

## Audit work on IFRS 16 transition

At this stage, we would expect you to have:

- determined whether the impact of IFRS 16 will be material for your authority
- raised awareness of the new Standard across the authority, potentially including procurement, estates, legal and IT departments
- assessed the completeness and accuracy of your lease register and taken action if necessary
- formalised and signed existing lease documentation
- identified leases of low value assets and leases with short terms
- considered whether liaison with valuation experts is necessary
- started to draft your 2019/20 disclosure note
- started to embed processes to capture the data necessary to manage the ongoing accounting implications of IFRS 16

and that you are monitoring progress against an approved IFRS 16 implementation plan. Your local engagement team will be in touch to discuss your progress with IFRS 16 implementation and audit working paper requirements.

---

# Implementation of International Financial Reporting Standard 16 *Leases*

---

## Further information and guidance

CIPFA published their 2020/21 Code consultation on 12 July 2019, including an Appendix concerned with IFRS 16 implementation, further details can be found at:

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/consultations-archive/code-of-practice-on-local-authority-accounting-in-the-united-kingdom-202021?crdm=0>

HM Treasury published IFRS 16 Application Guidance in December 2019 which can be found at:

[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/853238/IFRS\\_16\\_Application\\_Guidance\\_December\\_2019.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/853238/IFRS_16_Application_Guidance_December_2019.pdf)

CIPFA's IFRS 16 'Early guide for local authority practitioners' is available at:

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/i/ifrs-16-leases-an-early-guide-for-local-authority-practitioners>

IFRS 16 has been adopted a year earlier in the commercial sector. The Financial Reporting Council has published an IFRS 16 Thematic Review '*Review of Interim Disclosures in the First Year of Application*', containing key findings from their review and providing helpful insights into important disclosure requirements. The FRC's publication is available at:

<https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/a0e7c6e7-67d0-40fe-b869-e5cc589afe79/IFRS-16-thematic-review-2019-optomised.pdf>

# What is the future for local audit?

Paul Dossett, Head of local government at Grant Thornton, has written in the Municipal Journal “Audit has been a hot topic of debate this year and local audit is no exception. With a review into the quality of local audit now ongoing, it’s critical that part of this work looks at the overarching governance and management of the audit regime. We believe there is a strong need for new oversight arrangements if the local audit regime is to remain sustainable and effective in the future.”

Paul goes on to write “Local (local authority and NHS) audit has been a key part of the oversight regime for public services for more than a century. The National Audit Office (NAO) has exercised this role in central government for several generations and their reporting to Parliament via the Public Accounts Committee is a key part of the public spending accountability framework.

Local audit got a significant boost with the creation of the Audit Commission in 1983 which provided a coordinated, high profile focus on local government and (from 1990) NHS spending and performance at a local level. Through undertaking value for money reviews and maintaining a tight focus on the generational governance challenges, such as rate capping in the 1980s and service governance failings in the 1990s, the Commission provided a robust market management function for the local audit regime. Local audit fees, appointments, scope, quality and relevant support for auditors all fell within their ambit.

However, the Commission was ultimately deemed, among other things, to be too expensive and was abolished in 2010, as part of the Coalition Government’s austerity saving plans. While the regime was not perfect, and the sector had acknowledged that reform of the Commission was needed, complete abolition was not the answer.

Since then, there has been no body with complete oversight of the local audit regime and how it interacts with local public services. The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government; Department of Health; NHS; NAO; Local Government Association (LGA); Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA); the Financial Reporting Council (FRC); the Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy (CIPFA), audit firms and the audited bodies themselves all have an important role to play but, sometimes, the pursuit of individual organisational objectives has resulted in sub-optimal and even conflicting outcomes for the regime overall.

These various bodies have pursued separate objectives in areas such as audit fee reduction, scope of work, compliance with commercial practice, earlier reporting deadlines and mirroring commercial accounting conventions – to name just a few.

This has resulted in a regime that no stakeholder is wholly satisfied with and one that does not ensure local audit is providing a sufficiently robust and holistic oversight of public spending.

To help provide a more cohesive and co-ordinated approach within the sector, we believe that new oversight arrangements should be introduced. These would have ultimate responsibility for ensuring the sustainability of the local audit regime and that its component parts – including the Audit Code, regulation, market management and fees – interact in an optimal way. While these arrangements do not need to be another Audit Commission, we need to have a strategic approach to addressing the financial sustainability challenges facing local government and the NHS, the benchmarking of performance and the investigation of governance failings.

There are a number of possible solutions including:

- 1) The creation of a new arm’s length agency with a specific remit for overseeing and joining up local audit. It would provide a framework to ensure the sustainability of the regime, covering fees, appointments, and audit quality. The body would also help to create a consistent voice to government and relevant public sector stakeholders on key issues arising from the regime. Such a body would need its own governance structure drawn from the public sector and wider business community; and
- 2) Extending the current remit of the NAO. Give it total oversight of the local audit regime and, in effect, establish a local audit version of the NAO, with all the attendant powers exercised in respect of local audit. In this context, there would be a need to create appropriate governance for the various sectors, similar to the Public Accounts Committee.

While the detail of the new arrangements would be up for debate, it’s clear that a new type of oversight body, with ultimate responsibility for the key elements of local audit, is needed. It would help to provide much-needed cohesion across the sector and between its core stakeholders.

The online article is available here:

<https://www.themj.co.uk/What-is-the-future-for-audit/214769>



# Grant Thornton's Sustainable Growth Index Report

Grant Thornton has launched the Sustainable Growth Index (formerly the Vibrant Economy Index) – now in its third year. The Sustainable Growth Index seeks to define and measure the components that create successful places. Our aim in establishing the Index was to create a tool to help frame future discussions between all interested parties, stimulate action and drive change locally. We have undergone a process of updating the data for English Local Authorities on our online, interactive tool, and have produced an updated report on what the data means. All information is available on our online hub, where you can read the new report and our regional analyses.

The Sustainable Growth Index provides an independent, data-led scorecard for each local area that provides:

- businesses with a framework to understand their local economy and the issues that will affect investment decisions both within the business and externally, a tool to support their work with local enterprise partnerships, as well as help inform their strategic purpose and CSR plans in light of their impact on the local social and economic environment
- policy-makers and place-shapers with an overview of the strengths, opportunities and challenges of individual places as well as the dynamic between different areas
- Citizens with an accessible insight into how their place is doing, so that they can contribute to shaping local discussions about what is important to them

The Index shows the 'tip of the iceberg' of data sets and analysis our public services advisory team can provide our private sector clients who are considering future locations in the UK, or wanting to understand the external drivers behind why some locations perform better than others.

Our study looks at over 50 indicators to evaluate all the facets of a place and where they excel or need to improve.

Our index is divided into six baskets. These are:

- 1 Prosperity
- 2 Dynamism and opportunity
- 3 Inclusion and equality
- 4 Health, wellbeing and happiness
- 5 Resilience and sustainability
- 6 Community trust and belonging

This year's index confirms that cities have a consistent imbalance between high scores related to prosperity, dynamism and opportunity, and low scores for health, wellbeing, happiness inclusion and equality. Disparity between the richest and poorest in these areas represents a considerable challenge for those places.

Inclusion and equality remains a challenge for both highly urban and highly rural places and coastal areas, particularly along the east coast from the North East to Essex and Kent, face the most significant challenges in relation to these measures and generally rank below average.

Creating sustainable growth matters and to achieve this national policy makers and local authorities need to do seven things:

- 1 Ensure that decisions are made on the basis of robust local evidence.
- 2 Focus on the transformational trends as well as the local enablers
- 3 Align investment decisions to support the creation of sustainable growth
- 4 Align new funding to support the creation of sustainable growth
- 5 Provide space for innovation and new approaches
- 6 Focus on place over organisation
- 7 Take a longer-term view

The online report is available here:

<https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/sustainable-growth-index-how-does-your-place-score/>



# Institute for Fiscal Studies – English local government funding: trends and challenges in 2019 and beyond

The Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS) has found “The 2010s have been a decade of major financial change for English local government. Not only have funding levels – and hence what councils can spend on local services – fallen significantly; major reforms to the funding system have seen an increasing emphasis on using funding to provide financial incentives for development via initiatives such as the Business Rates Retention Scheme (BRRS) and the New Homes Bonus (NHB).”

The IFS goes on to report “Looking ahead, increases in council tax and additional grant funding from central government mean a boost to funding next year – but what about the longer term, especially given plans for further changes to the funding system, including an expansion of the BRRS in 2021–22?”

This report, the first of what we hope will be an annual series of reports providing an up-to-date analysis of local government, does three things in this context. First, it looks in detail at councils’ revenues and spending, focusing on the trends and choices taken over the last decade. Second, it looks at the outlook for local government funding both in the short and longer term. And third, it looks at the impact of the BRRS and NHB on different councils’ funding so far, to see whether there are lessons to guide reforms to these policies.

The report focuses on those revenue sources and spending areas over which county, district and single-tier councils exercise real control. We therefore exclude spending on police, fire and rescue, national park and education services and the revenues specifically for these services. When looking at trends over time, we also exclude spending on and revenues specifically for public health, and make some adjustments to social care spending to make figures more comparable across years. Public health was only devolved to councils in 2013–14, and the way social care spending is organised has also changed, with councils receiving a growing pot of money from the NHS to help fund services.”

The IFS reports a number of key facts and figures, including

- 1) Cuts to funding from central government have led to a 17% fall in councils’ spending on local public services since 2009–10 – equal to 23% or nearly £300 per person.
- 2) Local government has become increasingly reliant on local taxes for revenues.
- 3) Councils’ spending is increasingly focused on social care services – now 57% of all service budgets.

The IFS report is available on their website below:

<https://www.ifs.org.uk/publications/14563>



**English local government funding: trends and challenges in 2019 and beyond**



# CIPFA Financial Resilience Index

The Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy's (CIPFA) Financial Resilience Index is a comparative tool designed to provide analysis on resilience and risk and support good financial management.

CIPFA note "The index shows a council's position on a range of measures associated with financial risk. The selection of indicators has been informed by the extensive financial resilience work undertaken by CIPFA over the past four years, public consultation and technical stakeholder engagement. The index is made up of a set of indicators. These indicators take publicly available data and compare similar authorities across a range of factors. There is no single overall indicator of financial risk, so the index instead highlights areas where additional scrutiny should take place in order to provide additional assurance. This additional scrutiny should be accompanied by a narrative to place the indicator into context."

At the launch of the index in December, CIPFA commented "the index analyses council finances using a suite of nine measures including level of reserves, rate of depletion of reserves, external debt, Ofsted judgements and auditor value for money assessments."

CIPFA found that against these indicators the majority of councils are not showing signs of stress. But around 10% show "some signs of potential risk to their financial stability."



The Financial Resilience tool is available on the CIPFA website below:

<https://www.cipfa.org/services/financial-resilience-index/>

